## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Antonio Romero-Cabrera  Case Number:08-6077M  In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing was held on March 19, 2008. Defendan present and was represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and ordedetention of the defendant pending trial in this case.  FINDINGS OF FACT  I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:  The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cus Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been dep or otherwise removed.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcut to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives/works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.  There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	
present and was represented by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and ordedetention of the defendant pending trial in this case.  FINDINGS OF FACT  I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:  The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.  The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cus Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been dep or otherwise removed.  The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcuto assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives/works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.	
I find by a preponderance of the evidence that:  ☐ The defendant is not a citizen of the United States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.  ☐ The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.  ☐ If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cus Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been dep or otherwise removed.  ☐ The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.  ☐ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcuto assure his/her future appearance.  ☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.  ☐ The defendant lives/works in Mexico.  ☐ The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.	: was er the
<ul> <li>The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.</li> <li>If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cus Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been dep or otherwise removed.</li> <li>The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.</li> <li>The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcuto assure his/her future appearance.</li> <li>The defendant has a prior criminal history.</li> <li>The defendant lives/works in Mexico.</li> <li>The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Cus Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been dep or otherwise removed.</li> <li>The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.</li> <li>The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcuto assure his/her future appearance.</li> <li>The defendant has a prior criminal history.</li> <li>The defendant lives/works in Mexico.</li> <li>The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been depor otherwise removed.</li> <li>The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.</li> <li>The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcuto assure his/her future appearance.</li> <li>The defendant has a prior criminal history.</li> <li>The defendant lives/works in Mexico.</li> <li>The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calcuto assure his/her future appearance.</li> <li>The defendant has a prior criminal history.</li> <li>The defendant lives/works in Mexico.</li> <li>The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.</li> </ul>	toms ortec
to assure his/her future appearance.  The defendant has a prior criminal history.  The defendant lives/works in Mexico.  The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.	
<ul> <li>The defendant lives/works in Mexico.</li> <li>The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.</li> </ul>	lated
The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and substantial family ties to Mexico.	
substantial family ties to Mexico.	
There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.	l has
The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.	
The defendant is facing a maximum of years imprisonment.	
The Court incorporates by reference the material findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the at the time of the hearing in this matter, except as noted in the record.	Cour
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW  1. There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee. 2. No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as require DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinem a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pe appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall delive defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.  APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE	nding cour
IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsible deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the D Court.	lity to
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pi Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview investigate the potential third party custodian.	etria , and
DATED this 20 <sup>th</sup> day of March, 2008.	

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge